1. Q: Was there a sign-in sheet for the Information Session?
   A: Please find the information session presentation and sign in sheets posted at the following link:  http://www.nycedc.com/opportunity/hhc-fema-coney-island-hospital-campus-construction-project-cm-rfp

2. Q: Will there be a Building Information Modeling (BIM) requirement or component to the work required for this project?
   A: The design team will be utilizing BIM for a majority of the project design. It is anticipated that the CM will utilize that model to help expedite coordination and shop drawing process.

3. Q: The RFP requires that the Resident Engineer has a New York State PE license. Are alternate qualifications/experience acceptable for this position?
   A: A Resident Engineer will need to have all applicable license(s) and qualifications to inspect the work that specific regulatory agencies require. For inspection work that does not have specific regulatory requirements EDC may review alternate qualifications.

4. Q: Is there a walkthrough for this procurement?
   A: No, there will not be a walkthrough for this procurement.

5. Q: Can you provide the existing riser diagram drawings of the pneumatic tube system? How many more pneumatic tube system stations will be added over the course of this project? What are the new pneumatic tube station locations?
   A: No the drawings will not be provided at this time. The materials management systems for the project have not been defined as of yet.

6. Q: Pevco would like to bid on the pneumatic tube system work at the hospital. The current system they have is a Swisslog pneumatic tube system. Our devices and software are compatible with their system. It needs to be known that they are not a sole source. Please let me know who to contact to bid this project.
   A: This RFP is for Construction management services, not for bidding specific systems that may or may not be a part of this project. The materials management systems for the project have not been defined as of yet.

7. Q: The Exhibits referred to on page B-6 of the RFP do not appear to on the NYCEDC website.
   A: The Exhibits are available on the following EDC website – they may be accessed by clicking the link titled “Additional Documents” on the right hand side of the page. http://www.nycedc.com/opportunity/hhc-fema-coney-island-hospital-campus-construction-project-cm-rfp
8. Q: Section 2.2.3.2 on page 4 states that the anticipated contract term is 5 years with two one year extensions. Section B (scope of work) paragraph D states project starts June 2016 and is complete June 2020. Please clarify preconstruction start date, duration of preconstruction, construction start date and, if relevant, anticipated construction completion.
   A: Preconstruction is anticipated to start in June 2016. Please see attached conceptual project schedule- Attachment 1.

9. Q: In the referenced RFP, under General Terms and Conditions, Page 27: 10.6(i) Does the Section 3 requirement result from HUD funding of the project? Do other HUD CDBG-DR requirements apply?
   A: The reference to Section 3 is as applicable. The HUD Section 3 requirement is not applicable to this contract. Only FEMA requirements apply to this contract.

10. Q: In the referenced RFP, under Appendix B: Scope of Services, Page B-5: New Parking Structure - Is the 300 space offsite parking lot available for construction workers, or is it limited to use by staff and visitors of the facility? Is there any additional requirement to maintain on-site parking for use by staff and/or visitors during the term of Construction?
    A: The 300 space offsite parking lot is not anticipated to be available for construction worker parking at this point in time. As far as maintain parking on site, at a minimum the hospital must ensure EMS has some parking. The hospital will also have to identify what other onsite type of parking is required during construction. The hospital anticipates working with the CM on a final site logistics plan.

11. Q: In the referenced RFP, under Appendix B: Scope of Services, Page B-6: Project Objectives - Can you define the “engineering peer review” service? Is this intended to be a formal discipline review by design professionals? If so, what disciplines are to be included?
    A: The engineering peer review is intended to be a part of the constructability review and should be a comprehensive review of coordination, and a QA/AC of the documents. All disciplines should be included.

12. Q: In the referenced RFP, under Appendix B: Scope of Services, Page B-9: The Code Expeditor is shown both as a part of the Architect team, in the “Project Organization Chart” [page B-9] and on the CM staff [page 4: 2.2.2.1.8; Exhibit 2-2: Fee Schedule; page B-19: 4.a; page B-53: 22.b]. Is the Expeditor a part of the Architect team or the CM team for this proposal?
    A: The Expeditor should be retained by both the Architect and the CM team. The CM can choose to use the same expeditor as the design team or choose to use a separate consultant.

13. Q: In the referenced RFP, under Appendix B: Scope of Services, Page B-30: a.(2) Can you clarify how the Wicks Law would apply to portions of the CM assignment? Normally that is exempted.
    A: Wicks may be required per the RFP.

14. Q: In the referenced RFP, under Appendix D – Procurement; Exhibit 3 Article 1.C: The services call for verifying existing dimensions, while the “Project Organizational
Chart” [page B-9] indicates the Architect’s services include an Existing Condition/Laser Scan. Should we assume the Laser Scan is not available for construction use? 
A: A laser scan will be completed and available for construction use. CM will still need to verify existing dimensions where necessary.

15. Q: Similar to the previous EDC/HHC FEMA Mitigation RFP, will EDC consider alternative qualifications and experience for the Resident Engineer (currently requires NYS license) and Construction Inspector (currently requires NICET Level 3), ie, Superintendent and Inspector respectively, if the proposer can demonstrate years of industry experience and experience on projects of similar size and nature of the proposed staff? 
A: See Response to Question #3

16. Q: It says in the RFP that commissioning is the responsibility of the project manager hired by NYCEDC (page B-3) but the RFP doesn’t have a work scope or a rate title for commissioning. Will there be a separate RFP for commissioning? 
A: The CM will be required to coordinate commissioning services with construction activities. The commissioning agent will be contracted by the program manager.

17. Q: Please confirm that the only federal agency providing assistance to the project is FEMA. The solicitation includes CFR references and/or requirements associated with HUD (Section 3 hiring and subcontracting goals) and the FHWA (Appendix I—Payroll Reporting Form). Please confirm that there is no HUD or FHWA assistance to this project and that associated references and requirements will be stricken from the solicitation in a subsequent addendum. 
A: See Response to Question #9.

18. Q: Payment of federal Davis Bacon prevailing wages has been waived by FEMA (Contract Federal Exhibit 2, FEMA Rider). If other federal agencies are providing assistance, they may require payment of Davis Bacon wages. Please confirm that this is no requirement to pay federal Davis Bacon prevailing wages under this contract. Please confirm city requirement of prevailing wage per state controller prevailing wage rates shall apply. 
A: Davis Bacon wages are not applicable to this contract. As applicable, State or City prevailing wage rates will apply. It is the responsibility of the selected consultant to determine the correct prevailing wage rates for the project work and to track them accordingly.

19. Q: In regards to Certified Payroll: the NYC prevailing wage and reporting requirements will be used, in lieu of the FHWA Payroll Report Form that is required in Appendix I. Please confirm. 
A: The second page of the certified payroll form included in appendix I -6 must be utilized for all certified payroll submissions. The vendor can determine if they prefer to use the federal or City version of the first page. Either version of the first sheet is acceptable.
20. Q: Please confirm the New York State prompt payment requirements of 7 days will be required and not the 30 day payment period that is required by 2 CRF 200.  
A: This is a FEMA funded procurement and all applicable FEMA regulations will apply.

21. Q: Regarding 24 CFR Part 13, please confirm the intent of this article, as it appears to be unrelated to this project and will be stricken from the solicitation in a subsequent addendum.  
A: See Response to Question #9.

22. Q: Please confirm 44CFR Parts 50-58 are no longer in effect, and will be stricken from the solicitation in a subsequent addendum.  
A: See Response to Question #9.

23. Q: Please confirm 44CFR part 59 will be the responsibility of the owner (EDC) and will be stricken from the CM contract in a subsequent addendum.  
A: See Response to Question #9.

24. Q: Liquidated damages are required by the solicitation, but no dollar amount is provided. Please clarify the liquidated damages daily rate.  
A: There are no liquidated damages applicable to the Consultant.

25. Q: Please provide a copy of the Project Labor Agreement (PLA) for review.  
A: At this time there is no finalized PLA for this project.

26. Q: Will any of the funding sources (or approval processes) trigger city or state environmental review?  
A: The FEMA required environmental review is complete however there will likely be a city environmental review required.

27. Q: Is the FEMA work on this project subject to NEPA?  
A: Yes, FEMA follows protocols established by FEMA’s Environmental, Historical, Preservation department.

28. Q: Are any of the older buildings being demolished state or federal register eligible?  
A: To be confirmed, but not expected. Hammett Pavilion and Building 6 are both older than 50 years. We can expect FEMA EHP to review and issue FONSIs (finding of no significant impact) for both buildings.

29. Q: Would this renovation require a revision of the hospital’s Title V Air Permit?  
A: To be determined by the architectural design firm.

30. Q: Why isn’t the city’s MWBE certification accepted for this project?  
A: This project is being funded by FEMA and the State. Therefore, all M/WBE firms must be certified by Empire State Development’s Division of Minority and Women’s Business Development.
31. Q: The contract refers to Local Law 1 & Local Law 129, but since there is FEMA/State funding, will all MWBE’s be included?
   A: No, only firms that are certified by Empire State Development’s Division of Minority and Women’s Business Development will count towards the goal attainment.

32. Q: Are there any building information modeling or BIM requirements in the contract?
   A: See Response to Question #2.

33. Q: Will you be issuing the design schedule before the CM proposals are due?
   A: See Response to Question #8.

34. Q: Could you breakdown the $700M beyond hard and soft costs?
   A: No additional breakdown will be provided at this time.